

**REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE  
Pursuant to P.A. 154 of 2005  
Section 402  
and  
Pursuant to MCL 791.234a (9)  
Special Alternative to Incarceration Program**

**CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION  
SPECIAL ALTERNATIVE INCARCERATION**

**ANNUAL REPORT**



**-2005-**

**Patricia L. Caruso, Director**

## ***REPORT HIGHLIGHTS***

- 639 male prisoners from 63 counties and 73 female prisoners from 26 counties were enrolled in the program. 786 male probationers from 45 counties and 35 female probationers from 17 counties were enrolled in the program. See pages 11 through 14.

- 30.2% of the male prisoners, 27.4% of the female prisoners, 20.6% of the male probationers, and 17.1% of the female probationers enrolled in the program were serving for drug related offenses. See pages 15 and 16 for a list of the offenses of which program participants were convicted.

- 476 male prisoners, 46 female prisoners, 608 male probationers, and 31 female probationers successfully completed the program. The successful completion rates were 87.3% for male prisoners, 90.2% for female prisoners, 87.2% for male probationers, and 100% for female probationers. See pages 11 through 14 for complete program results.

- 93.6% of the male prisoners, and 95.7% of the female prisoners, who graduated from the program in 2005 are currently on parole or have discharged from parole. 89.8% of the male probationers, and 90.3% of the female probationers, who graduated from the program in 2005 have either discharged from their sentence or are adjusting satisfactorily on probation. See pages 11 through 14 for the current status of all graduates.

- The program is cost effective as compared to prison. See page 9.

- 62.7% of offenders taking the mandatory battery of GED tests earned their GED Certificates while enrolled in the program. See page 9.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SAI Program Facts and Photos. . . . .	1-5
History of the SAI Program . . . . .	6
Statutory Authority and Eligibility. . . . .	7-8
Program Cost Effectiveness . . . . .	9
Evening Educational and Self-Help Programming. . . . .	9-10
Summary of 2005 Male Probationer Program Statistics. . . . .	11
Summary of 2005 Female Probationer Program Statistics. . . . .	12
Summary of 2005 Male Prisoner Program Statistics. . . . .	13
Summary of 2005 Female Prisoner Program Statistics. . . . .	14
Probationer Admissions by Offense Type . . . . .	15
Prisoner Admissions by Offense Type . . . . .	16

### APPENDICES A-M

- A. 2005 Admissions by County - Probationer
- B. 2005 Admissions by County - Prisoner
- C. 2005 Admissions by Month
- D. 2005 Admissions by Sex
- E. 2005 Monthly Population Totals
- F. 2005 Program Outcomes by County - Probationer
- G. 2005 Program Outcomes by County - Prisoner
- H. 2005 Monthly Program Outcomes - Prisoner
- I. 2005 Monthly Program Outcomes - Probationer
- J. 2005 Graduates by Sex
- K. Comparative Program Outcomes - 2004 and 2005
- L. Current Status of Prisoner Program Graduates
- M. Comparative Status of Probationer Graduates - 2004 and 2005

## **SPECIAL ALTERNATIVE INCARCERATION (SAI) PROGRAM FACTS AND PHOTOS**

1. **What is SAI?** SAI is a program for felony offenders who satisfy statutory eligibility criteria. It is designed to provide Michigan's felony sentencing courts and the Department of Corrections an alternative to prison in the management of qualified offenders.
2. **What are the goals of the SAI Program?** The program has two primary goals. First, it promotes public safety through risk management in the selection of program participants and supervision strategies which gradually reintegrate offenders back into the community. Second, the program provides participants the opportunity to change their anti-social attitudes, criminal lifestyles, and prepare themselves for re-entry into the community as productive, law-abiding citizens.
3. **How does the SAI Program accomplish its goals?** The SAI Program accomplishes its goals by achieving the following objectives:
  - (1) It strips from participants their pride in socially unacceptable behavior through the use of techniques adapted from the military;
  - (2) It teaches a principle-based value system from which participants gain direction;
  - (3) It assists participants in improving their ability to successfully re-enter the community through achievements in programming, physical conditioning, work programs, personal and social development;
  - (4) It assists participants in learning self-discipline through immediate and complete compliance with program rules and orders issued by staff;
  - (5) It assists participants in achieving a sense of personal responsibility by holding them accountable for their behavior and by requiring them to help other participants in the program;
  - (6) It teaches participants a positive work ethic by requiring them to work in programs which benefit the community and provide a sense of personal accomplishment;
  - (7) It teaches participants how to prepare a resume and how to present themselves when applying for a job;
  - (8) At graduation parolees/probationers are reunited with families and are required to go through 120 days of intensive supervision to ensure they are introduced to needed community services/resources;

4. **What is “Special Alternative Incarceration”?** It is a 90 day (military type) school that consists of work, educational programming leading to the General Educational Development (GED) certificate, substance abuse education, with courses in anger management, life coping skills, and job seeking skills.
5. **What happens to participants after graduation?** Following program completion most parolees/probationers are placed directly on parole or probation with the first 120 days served under intensive supervision. Those who do not have appropriate housing placement will be placed in a residential aftercare facility until appropriate placement can be arranged.
6. **Where is the SAI Program?** The program is located at Camp Cassidy Lake, and operated by the Michigan Department of Corrections which is approximately three miles north of the Village of Chelsea, midway between Ann Arbor and Jackson. The facility is staffed by 126 employees

During intake, the false pride many offenders take in their past criminal behavior is stripped away from them. Here they become trainees and staff begins introducing them to socially acceptable behavior.



As part of the process of developing a healthy lifestyle and improving their self esteem and physical stamina, offenders participate in a daily motivational run, which is led by staff. Group activities such as physical conditioning also assist in creating an *esprit de corp* among trainees.



The SAI Program teaches trainees good work habits and a positive attitude toward work by involving them in meaningful in-camp work assignments and in public works projects in the community. The first in-camp assignment on which offenders are placed is cutting wood which is used to heat housing units and other buildings at the Cassidy Lake facility. Public works activities, such as maintaining public recreational areas and working in a local recycling facility, provide a valuable public service and enable trainees to experience the satisfaction which results from completing meaningful work assignments.





Trainees eat three nutritious meals daily and receive an evening snack to enable them to meet the mental and physical demands of the program.

Trainees learn self-discipline and teamwork by maintaining their living areas according to exacting standards. Inspections are conducted daily.



The 90 day program is voluntary. Probationers who are terminated as voluntary withdrawals or rule violators are returned to their sentencing county and face the possibility of going to prison. Prisoners are returned to a prison facility to serve the remainder of their sentence.



At the completion of the 90 day program, graduates are acknowledged by staff. Family members come to the facility to observe graduation and be united with their graduate.



## **THE HISTORY OF THE SPECIAL ALTERNATIVE INCARCERATION (SAI) PROGRAM IN MICHIGAN**

Michigan's Special Alternative Incarceration (SAI) Program was inspired by and patterned after a combination of Military Officers Candidate School and Military Recruit Training. In 1988, Senators Jack Welborn, Nick Smith and, James Barcia, with the support of other bi-partisan legislators, sponsored legislation to establish the SAI Program as an alternative to prison. Existing laws were amended to allow judges to sentence probationers to SAI as a condition of probation and to establish criteria for participation in the program.

In March, 1988, Camp Sauble, a minimum security prison camp for males located in the northwestern part of Michigan's Lower Peninsula, was designated as the first SAI camp. It had a capacity of 120 beds. The program operated at this capacity until 1991 and, because of its popularity, developed a large waiting list of potential candidates.

The large waiting list, together with legislative acceptance of the program as a viable alternative to prison, resulted in the introduction of legislation to expand eligibility. In the spring of 1992, legislation expanding eligibility criteria to include male prisoners and female probationers and prisoners was enacted.

In anticipation of the passage of this legislation, in June, 1991, the Cassidy Lake Technical School, a minimum security prison camp for males located in a rural area of the southeastern part of Michigan's Lower Peninsula approximately 50 miles from Detroit, was converted into a boot camp. The capacity of this facility was established at 360 beds. In September 1991 Camp Manistique opened in Schoolcraft County with a capacity of 120 beds.

In June, 1993, Camp Sauble and Camp Manistique were converted back into minimum security prison camps and the Cassidy Lake facility became the Department's only SAI facility. The consolidation at the Cassidy Lake facility significantly reduced the per diem cost of placement in the program and facilitated improved internal control of operations. It also assisted in the recruitment and retention of minority staff members, thereby enhancing the Department's efforts to maintain a diverse work force.

In January, 1995, legislation was passed which eliminated the 25 year age limitation for probationers.

In 2005 the camp funded bed capacity was increased to 400.

## **STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND ELIGIBILITY**

The probationer portion of the program is authorized by Public Act 426 of 1994. The prisoner portion of the program is authorized by Public Act 427 of 1994. The eligibility criteria for placement in the program are summarized as follows:

### **Prisoner:**

1. Has never previously been placed in the program as a probationer or prisoner, unless removed for medical reasons;
2. is physically able to participate in the program;
3. has no evidence of a mental handicap which would prevent participation in the program;
4. has not previously served a prison sentence;
5. is serving an indeterminate sentence(s) with a minimum term of 36 months or less or, if serving for Breaking and Entering of an Occupied Dwelling or Home Invasion, a minimum term of 24 months or less;
6. has not been convicted of a crime involving unlawful sexual behavior, arson, a death or a crime in which a life sentence is possible;
7. does not screen very high or potentially very high assault risk;
8. does not have a confinement or management security classification level of level IV or higher;
9. does not have pending felony detainer or a pending felony charge;
10. if serving a sentence for conviction of MCL 333.7401 or MCL 333.7403, must have served his/her statutory minimum if s/he has previously been convicted under either MCL 333.7401 or MCL 333.7403 (2) (a), (b), or (e);
11. if serving a sentence for conviction of MCL 750.227b (Felony Firearm Law) followed by an indeterminate sentence, s/he must have served the two year gun law sentence and have a total minimum term of 36 months or less, including the gun law sentence.

### **Probationer:**

1. Has never served a sentence of imprisonment in a state correctional facility;
2. would likely have been sentenced to prison in a state correctional facility;

3. the felony sentencing guidelines upper limit for the recommended minimum sentence for the offense is 12 months or more unless the offense is not covered by the felony sentencing guidelines or the offender is a probation violator;
4. is physically able to participate in the program;
5. has no evidence of a mental handicap which would prevent participation in the program;
6. has no pending felonies;
7. is not being sentenced for conviction of or the attempt to commit any of the following:  
  
Child Pornography (MCLA 750.145c), Burning Dwelling House (MCLA 750.72), Burning of Other Real Property (MCLA 750.73), Burning of Insured Property (MCLA 750.75), 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree Criminal Sexual Conduct (MCLA 750.520 b, c, d) or Assault With Intent to Commit Criminal Sexual Conduct (MCLA 750.520g);
8. is not being sentenced for a crime for which probation is not available by statute (i.e., murder, treason, armed robbery) or for a major controlled substance offense except in cases where life probation may be imposed.

## **PROGRAM COST EFFECTIVENESS**


During fiscal year 2005, the actual per diem cost of the program was \$88.19 (based upon an average population of 323 offenders). The cost of the 90 day program was \$7,937 per offender. Although the daily program cost is higher than the cost of incarcerating an offender in a level I security prison, the total annual cost of supervising an offender in the program is significantly less than the cost of incarceration.

If each of the 522 prisoners and 639 probationers who successfully completed the program during 2005 had been confined in a level I security prison for the entire year, the cost of their incarceration would have exceeded the cost of operating the program by \$10,456,198.

## **EVENING EDUCATIONAL AND SELF-HELP PROGRAMMING**


While enrolled in the program, all of the offenders admitted in 2005, participated in classes in substance abuse awareness, life skills, anger management, job-seeking skills, job preparation, interpersonal skills, and current events. Five hundred ten offenders earned their high school diploma, or received their GED prior to their admission into SAI. One thousand twenty three offenders who had not graduated from high school or earned their General Educational Development (GED) Certificate were enrolled in Adult Basic Education (ABE) programming.

As a result of this programming, 303 offenders (62.7% of those taking all the mandatory GED test modules) earned their GED Certificates. Those offenders that did not have the academic skills necessary to take the GED test as determined by Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE) were enrolled in academic education classes. Program graduates who have completed a portion of the GED test battery are enrolled in adult education programs in the community during the residential aftercare portion of the program.



Trainees take the Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE) during the orientation phase of the boot camp. Those who have not earned a high school diploma or GED certificate and those whose academic skills have fallen below the high school level are enrolled in academic education classes during the evenings.

The evening educational program places responsibility for success upon trainees. In 2005, 62.7% of those who took all the mandatory GED test modules earned their GED certificates during the 90 day program.



All trainees participate in substance abuse awareness programming. In 2005, 30.2% of the male prisoners, 27.4% of the female prisoners, 20.6% of the male probationers, and 17.1% of the female probationers placed in the program were serving for drug related offenses.

As part of their preparation to become responsible citizens, trainees participate in classes in current events.





Male probationer program statistics for 2005 are presented in the attached appendices. These key data are summarized as follows:

Of the 786 probationers enrolled in the program:

1. 402 (51.1%) were African-American
2. 372 (47.3%) were Caucasian
3. 5 ( 0.6%) were Hispanic
4. 7 ( 0.9%) were of other races

Probationers sentenced in 45 counties enrolled in the program.

Probationer age at sentencing ranged from 16 years to 49 years, with the 17-22 year age group comprising 66.7% of all admissions.

As of December 31, 2005, 174 male probationers were enrolled in the program.

Of the 697 probationers who either completed or were terminated from the program:

1. 608 (87.2%) successfully completed the program
2. 40 ( 5.7%) voluntarily withdrew
3. 49 ( 7.0%) were terminated as rule violators

Sixty eight probationers were terminated for medical reasons, and 25 probationers were terminated as unqualified.

Of the 608 probationers who successfully completed the program in 2005:

1. 546 (89.8%) are on probation or have completed probation
2. 39 ( 6.4%) have been re-sentenced to prison as probation violators
3. 9 ( 1.5%) have been re-sentenced to prison as probation violators with a new convictions
4. 14 ( 2.3%) have been sentenced to prison for crimes committed after completing probation

The Sentencing Guidelines for the 786 male probationers enrolled into the program are as follows:

Imprisonment Cell : Any cell in which the minimum of the cell range is more than 12 months. 87 (11.1%)

Straddle Cell: Any cell in which the maximum of the range exceeds 18 months and the minimum of the range is 12 months or less. 138 (17.6%)

Intermediate Sanction Cell: Special Alternative Incarceration is among those options given under Intermediate Sanction. 161 (20.5%)

Probation Violation: Is not governed by sentencing guidelines. The sentence for a probation violation is left to the judge's discretion. 385 (49.0%)

No Guidelines: Crimes with no numerical score. 15 ( 1.9%)

## SUMMARY OF 2005 FEMALE PROBATIONER PROGRAM STATISTICS

Female probationer program statistics for 2005 are presented in the attached appendices. These key data are summarized as follows:

Of the 35 probationers enrolled in the program:

1. 12 (34.3%) were African-American
2. 21 (60.0%) were Caucasian
3. 1 ( 2.3%) were Hispanic
4. 1 ( 2.3%) were of other races

Probationers sentenced in 17 counties enrolled in the program.

Probationer age at sentencing ranged from 18 years to 43 years, with the 17-22 year age group comprising 40.0% of all admissions.

As of December 31, 2005, 10 female probationers were enrolled in the program.

Of the 31 probationers who either completed or were terminated from the program:

1. 31 (100%) successfully completed the program
2. 0 ( 0.0%) voluntarily withdrew
3. 0 ( 0.0%) were terminated as rule violators

Two probationers were terminated for medical reasons, and no probationers were terminated as unqualified.

Of the 31 probationers who successfully completed the program in 2005:

1. 28 (90.3%) are on probation or have completed probation
2. 3 ( 9.7%) have been re-sentenced to prison as probation violators
3. 0 ( 0.0%) have been re-sentenced to prison as probation violators with a new convictions
4. 0 ( 0.0%) have been sentenced to prison for crimes committed after completing probation

The Sentencing Guidelines for the 35 female probationers enrolled into the program are as follows:

Imprisonment Cell	1 ( 2.9%)
Straddle Cell	3 ( 8.6%)
Intermediate sanction Cell	5 (15.6%)
Probation Violations	26 (74.3%)
No Guidelines	0 ( 0.0%)

## **SUMMARY OF 2005 MALE PRISONER PROGRAM STATISTICS**

Male prisoner program statistics for 2005 are presented in the attached appendices. These key data are summarized as follows:

Of the 639 prisoners enrolled in the program:

1. 208 (32.6%) were African-American
2. 417 (65.3%) were Caucasian
3. 6 ( 0.9%) were Hispanic
4. 8 ( 1.3%) were of other races

Prisoners sentenced in 63 counties enrolled in the program.

Prisoner age at sentencing ranged from 17 years to 56 years, with the 17-22 year age group comprising 34.4% of all admissions.

As of December 31, 2005, 144 prisoners were enrolled in the program.

Of the 545 prisoners who either completed or were terminated from the program:

1. 476 (87.3%) successfully completed the program
2. 37 ( 6.8%) voluntarily withdrew
3. 32 ( 5.9%) were terminated as rule violators

Fifty three prisoners were terminated for medical reasons, and 7 prisoners were terminated as unqualified.

Of the 476 prisoners who successfully completed the program in 2005:

1. 446 (93.6%) are currently on parole, or have discharged from parole.
2. 13 ( 2.7%) have returned to prison as parole violators
3. 6 ( 1.3%) have re-paroled after being returned as parole violators
4. 11 ( 2.3%) have returned to prison as parole violators with new convictions

Female prisoner program statistics for 2005 are presented in the attached appendices. These key data are summarized as follows:

Of the 73 prisoners enrolled in the program:

1. 18 (24.7%) were African-American
2. 55 (75.3%) were Caucasian
3. 0 ( 0.0%) were Hispanic
4. 0 ( 0.0%) were of other races

Prisoners sentenced in 26 counties enrolled in the program.

Prisoner age at sentencing ranged from 18 years to 51 years, with the 17-22 year age group comprising 8.2% of all admissions.

As of December 31, 2005, 21 female prisoners were enrolled in the program.

Of the 51 female prisoners who either completed or were terminated from the program:

1. 46 (90.2%) successfully completed the program
2. 4 ( 7.8%) voluntarily withdrew
3. 1 ( 2.0%) was terminated as a rule violator

Five female prisoners were terminated for medical reasons, and 3 female prisoners were terminated as unqualified.

Of the 46 female prisoners who successfully completed the program in 2005:

1. 44 (95.7%) are currently on parole, or have discharged from parole.
2. 2 ( 4.3%) have returned to prison as parole violators
3. 0 ( 0.0%) have re-paroled after being returned as parole violators
4. 0 ( 0.0%) have returned to prison as parole violators with new convictions

## PROBATIONER ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE

The sentence for each of the 786 male and 35 female probationers who entered the program during 2005 was used for the groupings listed below. For probationers serving more than one sentence, the sentence entered into the database first is listed.

Each of the following offense type groupings contains offenses which are similar in nature. For example, the "Fraud" category contains all cases involving financial transactions where trickery or deceit was an element of the crime.

OFFENSE TYPE	PERCENT OF TOTAL	
	Males	Females
1. Breaking & Entering	23.0%	8.6%
2. Drug Offenses	20.6%	17.1%
3. Larceny	15.3%	17.1%
4. Assault	12.1%	5.7%
5. Unauthorized Driving	11.2%	17.1%
6. Fraud	3.7%	17.1%
7. Weapons	5.0%	2.9%
8. Robbery	3.9%	5.7%
9. Miscellaneous	2.4%	5.7%
10. Larceny From Persons	2.8%	2.9%



## PRISONER ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE

The controlling sentence for each of the 639 male and 73 female prisoners who entered the program during 2005 was used for the groupings listed below. For prisoners serving more than one sentence, the sentence with the longest minimum term is the controlling sentence.

Each of the following offense type groupings contains offenses which are similar in nature. For example, the "Fraud" category contains all cases involving financial transactions where trickery or deceit was an element of the crime.

OFFENSE TYPE	PERCENT OF TOTAL	
	Males	Females
1. Drug Offenses	30.2%	27.4%
2. Breaking & Entering	22.1%	8.2%
3. Assault	9.9%	5.5%
4. Unauthorized driving	16.4%	17.8%
5. Robbery	2.7%	6.9%
6. Larceny	4.9%	5.5%
7. Fraud	3.4%	19.2%
8. Weapons	4.1%	2.7%
9. Miscellaneous	4.7%	4.1%
10. Larceny From Persons	1.7%	2.7%

<b>MALES</b> <b>Sentencing</b> <b>County</b>	<b>Number of</b> <b>Admissions</b>	<b>% of Total</b> <b>Admissions</b>	<b>FEMALES</b> <b>Sentencing</b> <b>County</b>	<b>Number of</b> <b>Admissions</b>	<b>% of Total</b> <b>Admissions</b>
Alcona	2	0.3%	Alcona	0	0.0%
Alger	0	0.0%	Alger	0	0.0%
Allegan	2	0.3%	Allegan	0	0.0%
Alpena	1	0.1%	Alpena	0	0.0%
Antrim	2	0.3%	Antrim	0	0.0%
Arenac	0	0.0%	Arenac	0	0.0%
Baraga	0	0.0%	Baraga	0	0.0%
Barry	3	0.4%	Barry	0	0.0%
Bay	15	1.9%	Bay	0	0.0%
Benzie	0	0.0%	Benzie	0	0.0%
Berrien	13	1.7%	Berrien	2	5.7%
Branch	0	0.0%	Branch	0	0.0%
Calhoun	10	1.3%	Calhoun	0	0.0%
Cass	0	0.0%	Cass	0	0.0%
Charlevoix	0	0.0%	Charlevoix	0	0.0%
Cheboygan	1	0.1%	Cheboygan	0	0.0%
Chippewa	0	0.0%	Chippewa	0	0.0%
Clare	0	0.0%	Clare	0	0.0%
Clinton	2	0.3%	Clinton	0	0.0%
Crawford	0	0.0%	Crawford	0	0.0%
Delta	0	0.0%	Delta	0	0.0%
Dickinson	0	0.0%	Dickinson	0	0.0%
Eaton	7	0.9%	Eaton	0	0.0%
Emmet	0	0.0%	Emmet	0	0.0%
Genesee	118	15.0%	Genesee	3	8.6%
Gladwin	0	0.0%	Gladwin	0	0.0%
Gogebic	0	0.0%	Gogebic	0	0.0%
Grand Traverse	7	0.9%	Grand Traverse	0	0.0%
Gratiot	0	0.0%	Gratiot	0	0.0%
Hillsdale	7	0.9%	Hillsdale	1	2.9%
Houghton	0	0.0%	Houghton	0	0.0%
Huron	0	0.0%	Huron	0	0.0%
Ingham	8	1.0%	Ingham	0	0.0%
Ionia	7	0.9%	Ionia	0	0.0%
Iosco	1	0.1%	Iosco	0	0.0%
Iron	0	0.0%	Iron	0	0.0%
Isabella	2	0.3%	Isabella	0	0.0%
Jackson	19	2.4%	Jackson	1	2.9%
Kalamazoo	29	3.7%	Kalamazoo	2	5.7%
Kalkaska	0	0.0%	Kalkaska	0	0.0%
Kent	32	4.1%	Kent	1	2.9%
Keweenaw	0	0.0%	Keweenaw	0	0.0%
Lake	3	0.4%	Lake	0	0.0%
Lapeer	6	0.8%	Lapeer	0	0.0%

<b>MALES</b>			<b>FEMALES</b>		
<b>Sentencing</b>	<b>Number of</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Sentencing</b>	<b>Number of</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
<b>County</b>	<b>Admissions</b>	<b>Admissions</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Admissions</b>	<b>Admissions</b>
Leelanau	1	0.1%	Leelanau	0	0.0%
Lenawee	1	0.1%	Lenawee	0	0.0%
Livingston	14	1.8%	Livingston	2	5.7%
Luce	0	0.0%	Luce	0	0.0%
Mackinac	0	0.0%	Mackinac	0	0.0%
Macomb	44	5.6%	Macomb	1	2.9%
Manistee	1	0.1%	Manistee	0	0.0%
Marquette	0	0.0%	Marquette	0	0.0%
Mason	1	0.1%	Mason	1	2.9%
Mecosta	2	0.3%	Mecosta	0	0.0%
Menominee	0	0.0%	Menominee	0	0.0%
Midland	0	0.0%	Midland	1	2.9%
Missaukee	0	0.0%	Missaukee	0	0.0%
Monroe	9	1.1%	Monroe	1	2.9%
Montcalm	3	0.4%	Montcalm	0	0.0%
Montmorency	0	0.0%	Montmorency	0	0.0%
Muskegon	47	6.0%	Muskegon	3	8.6%
Newaygo	1	0.1%	Newaygo	0	0.0%
Oakland	24	3.1%	Oakland	0	0.0%
Oceana	1	0.1%	Oceana	0	0.0%
Ogemaw	0	0.0%	Ogemaw	0	0.0%
Ontonagon	0	0.0%	Ontonagon	0	0.0%
Osceola	5	0.6%	Osceola	0	0.0%
Oscoda	0	0.0%	Oscoda	0	0.0%
Otsego	0	0.0%	Otsego	0	0.0%
Ottawa	14	1.8%	Ottawa	0	0.0%
Presque Isle	3	0.4%	Presque Isle	0	0.0%
Roscommon	0	0.0%	Roscommon	0	0.0%
Saginaw	42	5.3%	Saginaw	5	14.3%
St. Clair	25	3.2%	St. Clair	2	5.7%
St. Joseph	0	0.0%	St. Joseph	0	0.0%
Sanilac	0	0.0%	Sanilac	0	0.0%
Schoolcraft	0	0.0%	Schoolcraft	0	0.0%
Shiawassee	7	0.9%	Shiawassee	1	2.9%
Tuscola	6	0.8%	Tuscola	0	0.0%
Van Buren	10	1.3%	Van Buren	0	0.0%
Washtenaw	52	6.6%	Washtenaw	2	5.7%
Wayne	176	22.4%	Wayne	6	17.1%
Wexford	0	0.0%	Wexford	0	0.0%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>786</b>	<b>100.0%</b>		<b>35</b>	<b>100.0%</b>


## Appendix B - 2005 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY –PRISONER

MALES			FEMALES		
Sentencing County	Number of Admissions	% of Total Admissions	Sentencing County	Number of Admissions	% of Total Admissions
Alcona	0	0.0%	Alcona	0	0.0%
Alger	0	0.0%	Alger	0	0.0%
Allegan	16	2.5%	Allegan	0	0.0%
Alpena	2	0.3%	Alpena	0	0.0%
Antrim	5	0.8%	Antrim	0	0.0%
Arenac	1	0.2%	Arenac	0	0.0%
Baraga	0	0.0%	Baraga	0	0.0%
Barry	9	1.4%	Barry	0	0.0%
Bay	8	1.3%	Bay	0	0.0%
Benzie	2	0.3%	Benzie	0	0.0%
Berrien	36	5.6%	Berrien	7	9.6%
Branch	0	0.0%	Branch	0	0.0%
Calhoun	11	1.7%	Calhoun	3	4.1%
Cass	10	1.6%	Cass	0	0.0%
Charlevoix	3	0.5%	Charlevoix	0	0.0%
Cheboygan	2	0.3%	Cheboygan	0	0.0%
Chippewa	0	0.0%	Chippewa	0	0.0%
Clare	2	0.3%	Clare	0	0.0%
Clinton	2	0.3%	Clinton	0	0.0%
Crawford	3	0.5%	Crawford	0	0.0%
Delta	0	0.0%	Delta	0	0.0%
Dickinson	1	0.2%	Dickinson	0	0.0%
Eaton	5	0.8%	Eaton	0	0.0%
Emmet	2	0.3%	Emmet	0	0.0%
Genesee	58	9.1%	Genesee	5	6.8%
Gladwin	0	0.0%	Gladwin	0	0.0%
Gogebic	0	0.0%	Gogebic	0	0.0%
Grand Traverse	17	2.7%	Grand Traverse	1	1.4%
Gratiot	4	0.6%	Gratiot	0	0.0%
Hillsdale	11	1.7%	Hillsdale	2	2.7%
Houghton	0	0.0%	Houghton	0	0.0%
Huron	0	0.0%	Huron	0	0.0%
Ingham	13	2.0%	Ingham	2	2.7%
Ionia	13	2.0%	Ionia	1	1.4%
Iosco	0	0.0%	Iosco	0	0.0%
Iron	0	0.0%	Iron	0	0.0%
Isabella	5	0.8%	Isabella	0	0.0%
Jackson	19	3.0%	Jackson	1	1.4%
Kalamazoo	14	2.2%	Kalamazoo	1	1.4%
Kalkaska	1	0.2%	Kalkaska	0	0.0%
Kent	38	5.9%	Kent	5	6.8%
Keweenaw	0	0.0%	Keweenaw	0	0.0%
Lake	3	0.5%	Lake	1	1.4%
Lapeer	4	0.6%	Lapeer	0	0.0%


<b>MALES</b>			<b>FEMALES</b>		
<b>Sentencing</b>	<b>Number of</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Sentencing</b>	<b>Number of</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
<b>County</b>	<b>Admissions</b>	<b>Admissions</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Admissions</b>	<b>Admissions</b>
Leelanau	1	0.2%	Leelanau	1	1.4%
Lenawee	12	1.9%	Lenawee	0	0.0%
Livingston	7	1.1%	Livingston	1	1.4%
Luce	2	0.3%	Luce	0	0.0%
Mackinac	1	0.2%	Mackinac	0	0.0%
Macomb	25	3.9%	Macomb	2	2.7%
Manistee	6	0.9%	Manistee	0	0.0%
Marquette	2	0.3%	Marquette	0	0.0%
Mason	1	0.2%	Mason	1	1.4%
Mecosta	4	0.6%	Mecosta	0	0.0%
Menominee	1	0.2%	Menominee	0	0.0%
Midland	1	0.2%	Midland	0	0.0%
Missaukee	2	0.3%	Missaukee	0	0.0%
Monroe	18	2.8%	Monroe	0	0.0%
Montcalm	3	0.5%	Montcalm	1	1.4%
Montmorency	0	0.0%	Montmorency	0	0.0%
Muskegon	7	1.1%	Muskegon	2	2.7%
Newaygo	2	0.3%	Newaygo	0	0.0%
Oakland	48	7.5%	Oakland	14	19.2%
Oceana	0	0.0%	Oceana	0	0.0%
Ogemaw	0	0.0%	Ogemaw	0	0.0%
Ontonagon	0	0.0%	Ontonagon	0	0.0%
Osceola	2	0.3%	Osceola	1	1.4%
Oscoda	0	0.0%	Oscoda	0	0.0%
Otsego	12	1.9%	Otsego	3	4.1%
Ottawa	8	1.3%	Ottawa	1	1.4%
Presque Isle	5	0.8%	Presque Isle	0	0.0%
Roscommon	8	1.3%	Roscommon	0	0.0%
Saginaw	5	0.8%	Saginaw	1	1.4%
St. Clair	13	2.0%	St. Clair	2	2.7%
St. Joseph	8	1.3%	St. Joseph	1	1.4%
Sanilac	1	0.2%	Sanilac	0	0.0%
Schoolcraft	1	0.2%	Schoolcraft	0	0.0%
Shiawassee	5	0.8%	Shiawassee	0	0.0%
Tuscola	4	0.6%	Tuscola	0	0.0%
Van Buren	10	1.6%	Van Buren	3	4.1%
Washtenaw	11	1.7%	Washtenaw	0	0.0%
Wayne	81	12.7%	Wayne	10	13.7%
Wexford	2	0.3%	Wexford	0	0.0%
<b>Totals</b>	<b>639</b>	<b>100.0%</b>		<b>73</b>	<b>100.0%</b>




## 2005 ADMISSIONS BY MONTH



## 2005 ADMISSIONS BY SEX



## 2005 MONTHLY POPULATION TOTALS



Appendix E -

## Appendix F - 2005 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – MALE PROBATIONER

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Alcona	2	0	0	0	0	2
Alger	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegan	2	0	0	0	0	2
Alpena	0	0	0	0	0	0
Antrim	1	0	0	0	0	1
Arenac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baraga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Barry	2	0	0	1	0	3
Bay	13	0	0	1	0	14
Benzie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Berrien	10	1	0	0	1	12
Branch	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calhoun	6	0	1	1	0	8
Cass	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charlevoix	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cheboygan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chippewa	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clare	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	2	0	0	0	0	2
Crawford	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dickinson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eaton	8	0	0	0	0	8
Emmet	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	79	6	3	15	7	110
Gladwin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gogebic	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Traverse	11	0	0	0	0	11
Gratiot	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hillsdale	4	0	1	1	0	6
Houghton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Huron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ingham	6	1	1	1	0	9
Ionia	8	0	0	0	0	8
Iosco	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Isabella	2	0	0	0	0	2
Jackson	14	1	1	1	2	19
Kalamazoo	22	2	2	3	1	30
Kalkaska	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	23	2	1	1	1	28
Keweenaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	2	0	0	0	0	2
Lapeer	6	0	1	0	0	7

**Appendix F – 2005 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – MALE  
PROBATIONER –Cont.**

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Leelanau	1	0	0	0	0	1
Lenawee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	13	0	1	1	1	16
Luce	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mackinac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Macomb	40	0	3	4	2	49
Manistee	2	0	0	0	0	2
Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mason	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mecosta	2	0	0	0	0	2
Menominee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Midland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missaukee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	6	0	1	2	0	9
Montcalm	3	0	1	0	0	4
Montmorency	1	0	0	0	0	1
Muskegon	40	6	0	2	0	48
Newaygo	0	0	1	0	0	1
Oakland	13	0	2	4	0	19
Oceana	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ogemaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontonagon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Osceola	7	0	0	0	0	7
Oscoda	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ottawa	15	0	0	0	0	15
Presque Isle	2	0	0	0	0	2
Roscommon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saginaw	26	4	3	1	1	35
St. Clair	20	1	2	5	1	29
St. Joseph	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sanilac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoolcraft	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shiawassee	3	1	0	1	0	5
Tuscola	3	0	1	2	0	6
Van Buren	7	1	0	0	0	8
Washtenaw	39	3	5	2	2	51
Wayne	137	22	10	17	1	187
Wexford	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>790</b>

## Appendix F -2005 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – FEMALE PROBATIONER

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Alcona	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alger	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alpena	0	0	0	0	0	0
Antrim	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arenac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baraga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Barry	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bay	0	0	0	0	0	0
Benzie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Berrien	2	0	0	0	0	2
Branch	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calhoun	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cass	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charlevoix	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cheboygan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chippewa	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clare	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crawford	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dickinson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eaton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Emmet	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	2	0	0	0	0	2
Gladwin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gogebic	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Traverse	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gratiot	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hillsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
Houghton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Huron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ingham	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ionia	1	0	0	0	0	1
Iosco	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Isabella	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalamazoo	2	0	0	1	0	3
Kalkaska	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	1	0	0	0	0	1
Keweenaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lapeer	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Appendix F - 2005 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – FEMALE  
PROBATIONER – Cont.**

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Leelanau	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lenawee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	1	0	0	0	0	1
Luce	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mackinac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Macomb	1	0	0	0	0	1
Manistee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mason	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mecosta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Menominee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Midland	1	0	0	0	0	1
Missaukee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	1	0	0	0	0	1
Montcalm	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montmorency	0	0	0	0	0	0
Muskegon	2	0	0	0	0	2
Newaygo	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oakland	1	0	0	0	0	1
Oceana	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ogemaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontonagon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Osceola	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oscoda	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ottawa	0	0	0	0	0	0
Presque Isle	0	0	0	0	0	0
Roscommon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saginaw	3	0	0	1	0	4
St. Clair	1	0	0	0	0	1
St. Joseph	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sanilac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoolcraft	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shiawassee	1	0	0	0	0	1
Tuscola	0	0	0	0	0	0
Van Buren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washtenaw	3	0	0	0	0	3
Wayne	7	0	0	0	0	7
Wexford	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33</b>

## Appendix G -2005 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – MALE PRISONER

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Alcona	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alger	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegan	16	1	0	0	0	17
Alpena	4	0	0	0	0	4
Antrim	2	1	0	0	0	3
Arenac	1	0	0	0	0	0
Baraga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Barry	7	0	1	0	0	8
Bay	9	0	0	0	0	9
Benzie	3	0	0	0	0	3
Berrien	20	0	2	2	1	25
Branch	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calhoun	7	0	2	3	0	12
Cass	6	0	1	2	0	9
Charlevoix	2	0	0	0	0	2
Cheboygan	2	0	0	0	0	2
Chippewa	1	0	0	0	0	1
Clare	1	0	0	0	0	1
Clinton	2	0	0	0	0	2
Crawford	3	0	0	0	0	3
Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dickinson	1	0	0	0	0	1
Eaton	0	0	1	0	0	1
Emmet	4	0	0	0	0	4
Genesee	41	4	3	5	0	53
Gladwin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gogebic	1	0	0	0	0	1
Grand Traverse	15	1	0	1	0	17
Gratiot	4	0	0	0	0	4
Hillsdale	6	0	1	2	0	9
Houghton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Huron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ingham	11	1	1	0	0	13
Ionia	9	0	1	0	1	11
Iosco	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Isabella	2	0	0	1	0	3
Jackson	12	4	2	1	0	19
Kalamazoo	8	1	0	1	0	10
Kalkaska	1	0	0	0	0	1
Kent	39	2	2	2	0	45
Keweenaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	2	0	0	0	0	2
Lapeer	3	1	0	1	0	5



**Appendix G - 2005 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – MALE  
PRISONER – Cont.**

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Leelanau	1	0	0	0	0	1
Lenawee	10	0	0	0	0	10
Livingston	6	0	1	2	0	9
Luce	3	0	0	1	0	4
Mackinac	1	0	0	0	0	1
Macomb	15	1	0	1	0	17
Manistee	5	0	0	0	0	5
Marquette	1	0	1	1	0	3
Mason	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mecosta	2	0	0	0	1	3
Menominee	1	0	0	0	0	1
Midland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missaukee	1	0	0	1	0	2
Monroe	15	0	1	1	0	17
Montcalm	1	0	0	1	0	2
Montmorency	0	0	0	0	0	0
Muskegon	3	2	1	0	0	6
Newaygo	4	0	0	0	0	4
Oakland	33	2	3	0	0	38
Oceana	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ogemaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontonagon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Osceola	2	0	0	0	0	2
Oscoda	1	0	0	0	0	1
Otsego	8	0	1	0	0	9
Ottawa	6	0	0	0	0	6
Presque Isle	1	0	0	0	0	1
Roscommon	4	0	2	0	1	7
Saginaw	5	0	1	0	0	6
St. Clair	7	1	0	2	2	12
St. Joseph	7	1	1	1	0	10
Sanilac	1	0	0	0	0	1
Schoolcraft	1	0	0	0	0	1
Shiawassee	4	0	0	0	0	4
Tuscola	3	0	1	0	0	4
Van Buren	7	1	1	1	0	10
Washtenaw	11	0	1	0	0	12
Wayne	57	3	9	5	0	74
Wexford	1	1	0	0	0	2
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>605</b>

## Appendix G -2005 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – FEMALE PRISONER

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Alcona	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alger	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alpena	0	0	0	0	0	0
Antrim	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arenac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baraga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Barry	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bay	0	0	0	0	0	0
Benzie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Berrien	4	0	0	0	1	5
Branch	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calhoun	3	0	0	0	0	3
Cass	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charlevoix	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cheboygan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chippewa	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clare	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crawford	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dickinson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eaton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Emmet	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	2	0	0	0	0	2
Gladwin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gogebic	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Traverse	0	0	0	1	0	1
Gratiot	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hillsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
Houghton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Huron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ingham	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ionia	1	0	0	0	0	1
Iosco	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Isabella	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalamazoo	1	0	0	0	0	1
Kalkaska	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	3	0	1	0	0	4
Keweenaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	1	0	0	0	0	1
Lapeer	0	0	0	0	0	0

## Appendix G - 2005 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – FEMALE PRISONER – Cont.

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Leelanau	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lenawee	1	0	0	0	0	1
Livingston	1	0	0	0	0	1
Luce	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mackinac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Macomb	1	0	1	0	0	2
Manistee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mason	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mecosta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Menominee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Midland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missaukee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montcalm	1	0	0	0	0	1
Montmorency	0	0	0	0	0	0
Muskegon	0	0	1	0	0	1
Newaygo	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oakland	10	1	0	2	0	13
Oceana	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ogemaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontonagon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Osceola	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oscoda	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	3	0	0	0	0	3
Ottawa	2	0	0	0	0	2
Presque Isle	0	0	0	0	0	0
Roscommon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saginaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Clair	1	0	0	0	0	1
St. Joseph	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sanilac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoolcraft	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shiawassee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tuscola	0	0	0	0	0	0
Van Buren	2	0	0	0	0	2
Washtenaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wayne	8	0	1	0	0	9
Wexford	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>86</b>

## Appendix H -2005 MONTHLY PROGRAM OUTCOMES – PRISONER

### MALE

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
JANUARY	45	0	6	2	0	53
FEBRUARY	40	0	2	5	0	47
MARCH	29	1	3	8	0	41
APRIL	36	2	1	3	1	43
MAY	35	4	3	5	0	47
JUNE	43	6	3	3	1	56
JULY	43	4	0	8	1	56
AUGUST	37	0	0	4	1	42
SEPTEMBER	47	5	4	4	0	60
OCTOBER	29	1	3	2	0	35
NOVEMBER	53	4	5	4	1	67
DECEMBER	39	5	7	5	2	58
TOTAL	476	32	37	53	7	605

### FEMALE

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
JANUARY	3	1	1	0	1	6
FEBRUARY	1	0	0	0	0	1
MARCH	3	0	1	0	0	5
APRIL	3	0	2	0	0	4
MAY	7	0	0	1	0	8
JUNE	6	0	0	2	0	8
JULY	4	0	0	0	0	4
AUGUST	4	0	0	1	0	5
SEPTEMBER	3	0	0	0	1	4
OCTOBER	5	0	0	1	0	6
NOVEMBER	5	0	0	0	1	6
DECEMBER	2	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	46	1	4	5	3	59

## Appendix I -2005 MONTHLY PROGRAM OUTCOMES – PROBATIONER


### MALE

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
JANUARY	57	4	6	4	4	75
FEBRUARY	40	9	3	6	2	60
MARCH	57	3	2	13	4	79
APRIL	54	2	4	0	0	60
MAY	41	7	4	4	0	56
JUNE	70	2	2	7	3	84
JULY	55	3	1	7	3	69
AUGUST	37	1	1	6	1	46
SEPTEMBER	51	4	5	7	4	71
OCTOBER	52	4	4	8	0	68
NOVEMBER	47	5	4	3	1	60
DECEMBER	47	5	4	3	3	62
TOTAL	608	49	40	68	25	790

### FEMALE

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
JANUARY	2	0	0	0	0	2
FEBRUARY	2	0	0	0	0	2
MARCH	1	0	0	0	0	1
APRIL	1	0	0	0	0	1
MAY	5	0	0	0	0	5
JUNE	2	0	0	0	0	2
JULY	2	0	0	1	0	3
AUGUST	5	0	0	0	0	5
SEPTEMBER	0	0	0	1	0	1
OCTOBER	3	0	0	0	0	3
NOVEMBER	6	0	0	0	0	6
DECEMBER	2	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL	31	0	0	2	0	33

## 2005 GRADUATES BY SEX



## COMPARATIVE PROGRAM OUTCOMES – MALES 2004 AND 2005

	<b>Prisoners</b>		<b>Probationers</b>	
	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b><u>Terminations</u></b>				
Successful Completions	503 (88.7%)	486 (87.6%)	727 (87.9%)	608 (87.2%)
Voluntary Withdrawals	48 ( 8.5%)	41 ( 7.4%)	43 ( 5.2%)	40 ( 5.7%)
Rule Violators	16 ( 2.8%)	28 ( 5.0%)	57 ( 6.9%)	49 ( 7.0%)
Total	567	555	827	697
<b><u>Unqualified</u></b>				
Medical Terminations	38	53	81	81
Unqualified by statute	13	7	21	25
Total Program Exits	618	615	929	803

## COMPARATIVE PROGRAM OUTCOMES – FEMALES 2004 AND 2005

	<b>Prisoners</b>		<b>Probationers</b>	
	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b><u>Terminations</u></b>				
Successful Completions	75 (97.4%)	46 (90.2%)	28 (96.6%)	31 ( 100%)
Voluntary Withdrawals	1 ( 1.3%)	4 ( 7.8%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)
Rule Violators	1 ( 1.3%)	1 ( 2.0%)	1 ( 3.4%)	0 ( 0.0%)
Total	77	51	29	31
<b><u>Unqualified</u></b>				
Medical Terminations	8	5	2	2
Unqualified by statute	1	3	1	0
Total Program Exits	86	59	32	33



**CURRENT STATUS OF FEMALE PRISONER PROGRAM GRADUATES**  
(As of 2/28/2006)

The current status of the 180 female prisoners who successfully completed the program between January 1, 2001, and December 31, 2005, is as follows:

<b>FEMALES</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>
Successful Completions	15	30	29	75	46
Completed Parole	12 (80.0%)	21 (70.0%)	17 (58.6%)	28 (37.3%)	0 ( 0.0%)
Currently on Parole *	1 ( 6.7%)	2 ( 6.7%)	5 (17.2%)	34 (45.3%)	44 (95.7%)
Returned to Prison as Parole Violators	0 ( 0.0%)	1 ( 3.3%)	2 ( 6.9%)	4 ( 5.3%)	2 ( 4.3%)
Re-paroled After Being Returned as Parole Violators	1 ( 6.7%)	5 (16.7%)	3 (10.3%)	8 (10.7%)	0 ( 0.0%)
Returned to Prison With New Felony Convictions					
A. As Parole Violators With New Convictions	0 ( 0.0%)	1 ( 3.3%)	2 ( 6.9%)	1 ( 1.3%)	0 ( 0.0%)
B. With Convictions After Completing Parole	1 ( 6.7%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)

\* Includes parolees on absconder status.

# CURRENT STATUS OF MALE PRISONER PROGRAM GRADUATES

(As of 1/24 /2006)

The current status of the 2377 male prisoners who successfully completed the program between January 1, 2001, and December 31, 2005, is as follows:

<b>MALES</b>	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>
Successful Completions	397	497	504	503	476
Completed Parole	239 (60.2%)	285 (57.3%)	253 (50.2%)	111 (22.1%)	1 (0.2%)
Currently on Parole *	26 (6.6%)	39 (7.8%)	83 (16.5%)	276 (54.9%)	445 (93.4%)
Returned to Prison as Parole Violators	18 (4.5%)	30 (6.0%)	51 (10.1%)	49 (9.7%)	13 (2.7%)
Re-paroled After Being Returned as Parole Violators	29 (7.3%)	36 (7.2%)	35 (6.9%)	15 (3.0%)	6 (1.3%)
Returned to Prison With New Felony Convictions					
A. As Parole Violators With New Convictions	63 (15.9%)	94 (18.9%)	68 (13.5%)	51 (10.1%)	11 (2.3%)
B. With Convictions After Completing Parole	22 (5.5%)	13 (2.6%)	14 (2.8%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)

\* Includes parolees on absconder status.

## Appendix L

## COMPARATIVE STATUS OF PROBATIONER GRADUATES 2004 AND 2005

<b>MALES</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>FEMALES</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>
On probation or have completed probation	551 (75.8%)	546 (89.8%)	On probation or have completed probation	23 (82.1%)	28 (90.3%)
Re-Sentenced to prison as probation violators	121(16.7%)	48 ( 7.9%)	Re-Sentenced to prison as probation violators	5 (17.9%)	3 ( 9.7%)
Re-sentenced to prison for crimes committed after completing SAI	55 ( 7.5%)	14 ( 2.3%)	Re-sentenced to prison for crimes committed after completing SAI	0 ( 0.0%)	0 ( 0.0%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>727</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>31</b>